

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,539	04/04/2001	Howard Preissman	361722000201	9912	
75	90 03/01/2004		EXAMI	NER	
FRANK P. BE	CKING		MILLER, CHERYL L		
BOZICEVIC, F	IELD & FRANCIS, LLP				
200 MIDDLEFI	ELD ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 200			3738		
MENLO PARK	, CA 94025		DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/828,539	PREISSMAN, HOWA	RD		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cheryl Miller	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN COND void abandonment of this applicable to a timely filed amendment whimal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	OTION FOR ALLOW cation. A proper replication of the places the application of the places the applications.	ANCE. y to a ation in		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	a final raination, which ever	io latar da na		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2)	extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 May 2003. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	n the period set forth of the appeal.	ı in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			116 1 (1)		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) \square they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	is.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con 	sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$\operatorname{t(s)}$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or look of total	o)∏ will be entered a low or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-44 and 46-53</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:	C	Muy Mil			
		CMF			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claim 40 to include new language "wherein said radiopaque particles to be individually visible during implantation are larger than said radiopaque particles for contrast.", which is a new issue that requires a new search and consideration.

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER